UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,690	08/25/2003	Jon Claude Russell Bennett	D3056D	3771
27774 MAYER & WI	7590 04/09/2007 LLIAMS PC		EXAM	INER
251 NORTH AVENUE WEST HAN, CLEMENCE S			MENCE S	
2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, 1	NJ 07090		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			2616	
r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	04/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/648,690	BENNETT, JON CLA RUSSELL	UDE			
omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Clemence Han	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	ugust 2003	•				
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	• •				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10 and 15-20</u> is/are allowed.			**			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	<u> </u>					
Application Papers						
	· ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '		121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
			`			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Sta	ge			
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/648,690 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 1-4 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claim 1, the phrase "a recipient" in line 5 and 6 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether it is the same limitation as "a recipient" in line 4 or not.
- 4. Regarding claim 2, the phrase "a recipient" in line 2 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether it is the same limitation as "a recipient" in claim 1 line 4 or not.
- 5. Regarding claim 3, the phrase "a recipient" in line 2 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether it is the same limitation as "a recipient" in claim 1 line 4 or not.
- 6. Regarding claim 11, the phrase "a recipient" in line 7 and 8 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether it is the same limitation as "a recipient" in line 6 or not.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,690 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

7. Regarding claim 12, the phrase "a recipient" in line 2 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether it is the same limitation as "a recipient" in claim 11 line 6 or not.

8. Regarding claim 13, the phrase "a recipient" in line 2 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether it is the same limitation as "a recipient" in claim 11 line 6 or not.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claim 5-10 and 15-20 are allowed.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior arts in the record fail to teach or make obvious to a method comprising including in the IPMP packet in a predetermined field a start time to live value and a time to live value within a structure of the claim. These features are claimed in the independent claims 5 and 15 and render them allowable.
- 11. Claim 1 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior arts in the record fail to teach or make obvious to a method comprising an start recording instruction to a recipient indicating a predetermined number of hops that are required before a recipient should begin adding additional information when forwarding the IPMP packet within a structure of the claim. These features are claimed in the independent claims 1 and 11 and render them allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,690 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

13. Claim 2-4 and 12-14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clemence Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-3158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Clemence Han Examiner

STEVEN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER